UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/753,355	01/09/2004	Aaron L. Jestice	F0025.0001/P001	2786
24998 DICKSTEIN SI	7590 06/23/200 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1825 EYE STREET NW			PALABRICA, RICARDO J	
Washington, DC 20006-5403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/753,355	JESTICE, AARON L.			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rick Palabrica	3663			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Rick Palabrica</u> .	(3)				
(2) David Beck, Applicant's Representative.	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>19 June 2008</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	2]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.				
Claim(s) discussed:					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed approaches to addressing the 112 rejections in the 4/23/08 Office action. Applicant's representative believes that the proposed claim amendments during this interview would overcome the rejections. The examiner indicated that such appears to be the case but he would like to examine the amended claims when formally submitted to confirm his preliminary conclusion (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
	/Rick Palabrica/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 36				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi				